

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,365	5 01/25/2002		John M. Harris	CE08814R	2004
22917	7590	04/22/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTORO			DAO, MINH D		
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			2682	4
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	<i>I</i>

3. 1.25°

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Amilianda				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/057,365	HARRIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MINH D DAO	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• •	` ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2,3.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hjelm et al. (US 6,529,497).

Regarding claim 1, Hjelm teaches a method for data transmission within a wireless communication system (see fig. 1, items 20, 22, 24, 50), the method comprising the steps of: determining that data transmission needs to take place (col. 6, lines 27-31); determining a communication system statistic (col. 2, lines 64-67; col. 3, lines 1-11; col. 6, lines 63-66); and adjusting an idle-timer threshold based on the communication system statistic, wherein a data call is dropped if there exists no data transmission for a period of time greater than the idle-timer threshold (col. 9, lines 53-67; col. 10, lines 1-6; col. 10, lines 38-47; col. 10, lines 64-67; col. 11, lines 1-3; col. 11, lines 4-16).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claims 2, 9, and 15, Hjelm teaches determining a communication system

statistic comprises the step of determining an amount of system resources available to

the communication system (col. 6, lines 63-66).

Regarding claims 3, 10, and 16, Hjelm teaches determining a communication system

statistic comprises the step of determining an amount of data channels available to the

communication system (col. 6, lines 63-66).

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 19, Hjelm teaches adjusting the idle-timer threshold

comprises the step of either increasing or decreasing the idle-timer threshold based on

the communication system statistic (col. col. 10, lines 1-6; col. 10, lines 38-47; col. 10,

lines 64-67, col. 11, lines 4-16).

Regarding claim 7, the claim has the limitations as that in claim 1, therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 8, the claim has the limitations as that in claim 1, therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 14, the claim is the apparatus claim of claim 1 and has the limitations as that in claim 1, therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2682

2. Claims 4,5,11,12,17,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Hjelm et al. (US 6,529,497).

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 17, cited reference Hjelm teaches the limitations of claims 1, 8, and 14 which are independent claims of claims 4, 11, and 17 respectively. Hjelm

fails to teach determining a communication system statistic comprises the step of

determining a length of time that a data transmission call has taken place. However, it is

known in the art that the length of time of data transmission is one of the factors that

contributes to the traffic load of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the step

of determining the length of time of the data transmission in order to determine the

system statistic.

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 18, cited reference Hjelm teaches the limitations of claims

1, 8, and 14 which are independent claims of claims 5, 12, and 18 respectively. Hjelm

fails to teach determining a communication system statistic comprises the step of

determining a link speed for the data transmission. However, it is known in the art that

the link speed of data transmission is one of the factors that contributes to the traffic

load of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of the invention was made to include the step of determining the llink

speed of data transmission in order to determine the system statistic.

Art Unit: 2682

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Chen et al. (US 2002/0132586) discloses Techniques For Adjusting
 Parameters Of A Quick Paging Channel Based On Network Load.
 - Vukovic et al. 9US 2002/0198012) discloses Method And Apparatus For Allocating A Communication Resource In A Broadband Comminication System.
 - Laboy et al. (US 6,442,652) discloses Load Based Cache Control For Satellite Based CPUS.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D DAO whose telephone number is 703-305-5589. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, VIVIAN C CHIN can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dao Examiner Art Unit 2682 April 15, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER